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Abstract

Alcohol use disorders are a major public health concern. Despite the demonstrated efficacy of a number of different treatments for alcohol dependence, relapse remains a major problem. Healthy lifestyle changes may contribute to long-term maintenance of recovery and interventions targeting physical activity, in particular, may be especially valuable as an adjunct to alcohol treatment. In this paper, we discuss the rationale and review potential mechanisms of action whereby exercise might benefit alcohol dependent patients in recovery. We then describe the development of a 12-week moderate-intensity aerobic exercise program as an adjunctive intervention for alcohol dependent patients in recovery. Preliminary data from a pilot study (n=19) are presented and the overall significance of this research effort is discussed.
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Rationale

Alcohol use disorders are a major public health concern (Gmel & Rehm, 2003; Rehm, Gmel, Sempos, & Trevisan, 2003; USDHHS, 2000). The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) characterizes an alcohol use disorder (either abuse or dependence) as a maladaptive pattern of drinking and symptoms that result in clinical impairment or distress (APA, 2000). Approximately 17.6 million Americans are estimated to meet diagnostic criteria for alcohol abuse or dependence annually (B. F. Grant et al., 2004), and associated costs number in excess of $184.6 billion per year (Harwood, 2000). Alcohol use disorders are among the most common of the psychiatric disorders in the United States, affecting as many as 18% of the population at some point in their lifetime (Kessler et al., 2005). Negative consequences of alcohol misuse include interpersonal violence (Pernanen, 1991; Stuart, 2005; Thompson & Kingree, 2006), risky sexual behavior (Cooper, 2002; C. Donovan & McEwan, 1995; Justus, Finn, & Steinmetz, 2000), drunk driving (Pickrell, 2006), and suicide (B.F. Grant & Hasin, 1999; Wilcox, Conner, & Caine, 2004).

The Problem of Relapse in Alcohol Use Disorders

Despite the demonstrated efficacy of a number of different treatments for alcohol dependence (W. R. Miller & Wilbourne, 2002; Read, Kahler, & Stevenson, 2001), relapse remains a major problem, with relapse rates in the first year following treatment ranging from 60 – 90% (Brownell, Marlatt, Lichtenstein, & Wilson, 1986; Maisto, Connors, & Zywiak, 2000; W.R. Miller, Walters, & Bennett, 2001). Attention to the problem of relapse in alcohol dependence and other addictive disorders has intensified over the years (Marlatt & Donovan, 2005; Moos & Moos, 2006), and the significant work of Marlatt and colleagues (Marlatt & Donovan, 2005) provide a social learning model of the relapse process in addictive disorders and suggested treatment approaches to prevent relapse. In articulating their social learning-based (Albert Bandura, 1977; A. Bandura, 1986) relapse prevention model, Marlatt and Gordon’s (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985) primary focus was on the individual's ability to cope with situational factors that may precipitate relapse, and the need to deal with the negative cognitive-affective reactions following an initial lapse. Although empirical support for Marlatt’s relapse taxonomy was found to be lacking (c.f., (D. M. Donovan, 1996; Stout, Longabaugh, & Rubin, 1996), relapse prevention strategies (derived from Marlatt's model) for the treatment of alcohol dependence have shown promise (Carroll, 1996; Irvin, Bowers, Dunn, & Wang, 1999; Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2004).

Although lifestyle modification was one of the main components in Marlatt’s relapse prevention model (Marlatt, 1985; Marlatt & Witkiewitz, 2005), the treatment outcome literature suggests that this component has received the least emphasis in relapse prevention programs for alcoholism. Despite this lack of attention in the empirical literature, methods that attempt to foster healthy lifestyle changes may contribute to long-term maintenance of recovery and interventions targeting physical activity, in particular, may be especially valuable as an adjunct to alcohol treatment.

Aerobic Exercise as a Relapse Prevention Strategy

Physical activity is defined as any bodily movement that results in energy expenditure (Caspersen, Powell, & Christenson, 1985). Exercise is a subset of physical activity and is defined as “planned, structured, and repetitive bodily movement done to improve or maintain one or more components of physical fitness” (Caspersen et al., 1985) and can be categorized as being either aerobic, referring to activities that require oxygen uptake, or anaerobic, referring to activities that do not rely on an oxidative process (Boutcher, 1993). In recent years, a growing body of empirical research has examined the numerous physical and psychological benefits of
exercise, and has begun to explore potential treatment applications of exercise (USDHHS, 1996).

Exercise may represent a potentially useful and relatively unexplored alternative behavior for alcoholics working toward long-term recovery. Indeed, in his chapter on “Lifestyle Modification,” Marlatt (Marlatt, 1985) cites exercise as “a highly recommended lifestyle change activity” (p. 309) and discusses the advantages of exercise as a relapse prevention strategy. Other writers have agreed that lifestyle-enhancing factors such as exercise and fitness may play an important role in the prevention and treatment of addictive disorders (Agne & Paolucci, 1982; C.B. Taylor, Sallis, & Needle, 1985; Tkachuk & Martin, 1999).

The adoption of exercise behavior in alcohol recovery could provide some definite advantages. Exercise offers the potential for improved health and wellness, as the physiological health benefits of exercise have been well documented in both general populations (Bovens et al., 1993; King, Frey-Hewitt, Dreon, & Wood, 1989; Kohl, LaPorte, & Blair, 1988; Oberman, 1985; Pinto & Marcus, 1994; USDHHS, 1996) and in alcoholic samples (Frankel & Murphy, 1974; Peterson & Johnston, 1995; Sinyor, Brown, Rostant, & Seraganian, 1982; Tsukue & Shohoji, 1981). Exercise also has the potential to be cost-effective, flexible and accessible; many forms of exercise (e.g., running, fitness videotapes, swimming) may be conducted independently, either at home or outdoors, and associated costs are likely to be minimal. Finally, exercise has minimal side effects compared to pharmacological treatment (cf., (Broocks et al., 1998). With the use of proper precautions for prevention of injuries (American College of Sports Medicine, 2000), exercise carries with it far less risk of adverse events than does the use of psychotropic medication.

Thus it appears that exercise participation may offer decided advantages as a maintenance strategy for alcohol dependent individuals. Below, we review potential mechanisms of action whereby exercise might benefit alcoholics in recovery. We then present evidence for the potential efficacy of exercise as a relapse prevention strategy. Following this, we describe the development of an aerobic exercise program for alcoholics as well as presenting preliminary findings. Finally, we discuss the overall significance of this research effort.

Potential Mechanisms of Action for Exercise in Alcohol Recovery

Exercise may benefit alcoholics attempting recovery from alcohol problems through a number of different mechanisms of action. Exercise may (a) provide pleasurable states without the use of alcohol, (b) reduce depressive symptoms and negative mood, (c) increase self-efficacy, (d) provide positive alternatives to drinking, (e) decrease stress reactivity and improve coping and (f) decrease urges to drink.

Provide Pleasurable States Without Use of Alcohol—Similar to findings regarding alcohol and drug use (Froelich, 1997; O’Malley et al., 1996), exercise has been shown to have positive reinforcing properties that may be mediated by its effects on the endogenous opioid system and on dopaminergic reinforcement mechanisms (Carlson, 1991; Cronan & Howley, 1974; Thoren, Floras, Hoffmann, & Seals, 1990). Therefore, alcoholics may find exercise to be a viable means of experiencing pleasure. Indeed, Murphy and colleagues (Murphy, Pagano, & Marlatt, 1986) observed that heavy alcohol drinkers in their study experienced a “high” associated with exercise. Thus, alcoholics may find exercise to be a positively reinforcing alternative to drinking.

Provide Positive Alternatives to Drinking—Optimally, alcohol recovery involves positive lifestyle change to develop rewarding and enjoyable social and recreational activities that do not revolve around drinking (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985; Smith & Meyers, 1995). Exercise may serve as just such an activity. Moreover, many persons early in recovery may find
themselves with a good deal of unstructured time that was once spent using substances. In such cases, exercise can also serve as a healthy, positive means of filling unstructured time [see (Marlatt, 1985)]. Involvement in exercise may help recovering alcoholics achieve “high-level wellness” (Bartha & Davis, 1982), allowing the patient to embrace a better quality of health and fitness, and consequently, a better quality of life.

Reduce Depressive Symptoms and Negative Mood—Although the relationship between alcohol use and depression is complex (Brown & Ramsey, 2000; Hodgins, el Guebaly, Armstrong, & Dufour, 1999), a number of studies in recent years have found an association between depressive symptomatology and poor outcome in recovery from alcohol dependence (Greenfield et al., 1998; Hodgins et al., 1999; Rounsaville, Dolinsky, Babor, & Meyer, 1987). Exercise has been shown to have a positive impact on depressive symptoms (e.g., Byrne & Bryne, 1993; E.J. Doyne, Chambless, & Beutler, 1983; Elizabeth J. Doyne et al., 1987; McCann & Holmes, 1984) and to result in acute improvements in mood (e.g., Berger & Owen, 1992; Bock, Marcus, King, Borrelli, & Roberts, 1999). Furthermore, a number of controlled studies have shown aerobic exercise to be associated with positive outcomes for depression when compared to both no-treatment control conditions (E.J. Doyne et al., 1983; Elizabeth J. Doyne et al., 1987; McCann & Holmes, 1984) and to more traditional treatments for depression, such as cognitive behavioral therapy (Craft & Landers, 1998; Lawlor & Hopker, 2001) and antidepressant medication (Babyak et al., 2000). Thus, exercise may serve to alter mood and depressive symptoms and to provide an alternative for the treatment of depression.

Positive effects of exercise on psychological health have also been shown in individuals with substance use disorders. Both aerobic and strength training exercise programs during the course of alcohol treatment have resulted in decreased depressive and anxiety symptoms (Frankel & Murphy, 1974; J. Palmer, Vacc, & Epstein, 1988; J. A. Palmer, Palmer, Michiels, & Thigpen, 1995). Similar findings have emerged with smokers attempting cessation (Bock et al., 1999; Kawatchi, Troisi, Rotnitzky, Coakley, & Codlitz, 1996), and generally suggest that positive psychological health consequences of exercise extend to individuals in treatment for substance use disorders. Just as we found that improved drinking outcomes in alcohol dependent patients receiving cognitive-behavioral treatment for depression were mediated by reduction of depressive symptoms (Brown, Evans, Miller, Burgess, & Mueller, 1997), so may exercise lead to improved drinking outcomes as a result of reductions in depressive and anxiety symptoms.

Decrease Stress Reactivity and Improve Coping—Some cognitive social learning theorists have posited that alcohol-involved individuals drink at least in part because they lack certain basic coping skills necessary for dealing with stressors associated with daily living (Abrams & Niaura, 1987; William R. Miller et al., 1995; P.M. Monti, Rohsenow, Colby, & Abrams, 1995). Consistent with this theoretical formulation, exercise can serve to reduce stress reactivity and to supplant drinking as a primary coping mechanism (Hobson & Rejeski, 1993; Keller, 1980). Several studies have provided empirical support for this viewpoint (Calvo, Szabo, & Capafons, 1996; Rejeski, Gregg, Thompson, & Berry, 1991; Sinyor et al., 1982). In a meta-analytic review, (Crews & Landers, 1987) found a significant association between aerobic fitness and reactivity to stressors. Aerobically fit individuals displayed a reduced psychosocial stress response when compared to controls. Therefore, exercise may produce a buffering effect that decreases the likelihood that an individual will feel the need to use drinking as a way of coping with stress (Rejeski et al., 1991).

Increase Self-Efficacy—Bandura (Albert Bandura, 1977; A. Bandura, 1986) viewed self-efficacy (the belief in one’s ability to master particular skills) as a cognitive mechanism that affects behavior. Enhancing one’s self-efficacy is likely to result in positive behavior change. The “mastery hypothesis” (Tuson & Sinyor, 1993) suggests that enhanced self-efficacy for exercise (McAuley, Courneya, & Lettunich, 1991; Williams & Cash, 1999) gained from the
acquisition of exercise skills may generalize to increased self-efficacy for implementing coping strategies necessary for the maintenance of long-term sobriety (see (Peterson & Johnstone, 1995).  

**Decrease urges to drink**—Urges to drink alcohol have been identified as an important relapse trigger for abstinent alcoholics (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985; P. M. Monti, Rohsenow, & Hutchison, 2000). More recently, it has been proposed that moderate-intensity exercise may provide short-term relief from urges to drink alcohol (M. Ussher, Sampuran, Doshi, West, & Drummond, 2004). Therefore, decreases in urges to drink may mediate the effect of aerobic exercise on decreased likelihood for relapse.  

### Evidence for the Efficacy of Exercise in Treatment for Substance Use Disorders  

**Tobacco**—Among addictive disorders, nicotine dependence has received the most attention with respect to the role of physical activity. Findings from early correlational studies indicated that increased fitness levels were significantly associated with decreases in smoking behaviors (Cheraskin & Ringsdorf, 1971; Hickey, Mulcahy, Bourke, Graham, & Wilson-Davis, 1975). More recently, research in smoking cessation has demonstrated the effects of exercise on decreased craving and nicotine withdrawal (Bock et al., 1999) (see also (Pomerleau et al., 1987) as well as maintenance of smoking cessation (M. H. Ussher, Taylor, West, & McEwen, 2000).  

Ten controlled published studies have examined exercise interventions for smokers. Most of these studies (J. S. Hill, 1985; R. D. Hill, Rigdon, & Johnson, 1993; Russell, Epstein, Johnston, Block, & Blair, 1988; C. B. Taylor, Houston-Miller, Haskell, & Debusk, 1988) were conducted over a decade ago and did not demonstrate promising results. However, in a more recent study, Martin and colleagues (Martin et al., 1997) compared three smoking interventions (standard treatment plus nicotine anonymous meetings, behavioral counseling plus physical exercise, and behavioral counseling plus nicotine gum) in 205 recovering alcoholics. They found a significant effect for exercise on quit rates at the end of the 12-week treatment program. A series of studies by Marcus and colleagues (B. H. Marcus et al., 1999; B. H. Marcus, Albrecht, Niura, Abrams, & Thompson, 1991; B. H. Marcus et al., 1995; B. H. Marcus et al., 2005) have also shown promising results regarding the potential influence of exercise on smoking behavior. In a large, well-controlled study, Marcus and colleagues (B. H. Marcus et al., 1999) examined the efficacy of a 12-week vigorous-intensity exercise (Commit to Quit I; CTQ I) as an aid for smoking cessation among 281 healthy, sedentary female smokers. Compared with participants in the control condition, those in the exercise group achieved significantly higher levels of abstinence at the end of treatment and at 3-month (16.4% vs 8.2%; p=.03) and 12-month (11.9% vs. 5.4%; p=.05) follow-ups. Most recently, Marcus and colleagues tested an 8-week moderate-intensity exercise intervention, Commit to Quit II (CTQ II), as an aid to smoking cessation in women (B. H. Marcus et al., 2003). While the exercise intervention did not result in significant differences between groups, those participants that did adhere to the intervention demonstrated significantly increased odds of 7-day point prevalence smoking abstinence at the end of treatment (B. H. Marcus et al., 2005).  

Although more work is needed in this area (see (M. H. Ussher et al., 2000), it now appears that exercise may be a useful component of smoking cessation interventions. In light of overlapping etiologies and treatment approaches for both tobacco and alcohol use disorders (Gulliver et al., 1995; Sher, Gotham, Erickson, & Wood, 1996), we believe that research efforts in exercise and smoking cessation may provide a template for extending this work to intervention efforts with alcohol use disorders.
Polysubstance Use—While we could find no studies examining the efficacy of exercise in adults with drug abuse or dependence, exercise interventions have been applied with adolescent substance abusers. Collingwood et al. (Collingwood, Reynolds, Kohl, Smith, & Sloan, 1991) conducted a clinical trial of an 8-9 week structured fitness program with adolescent substance abusers. Participants were recruited from either a school-based “at risk” prevention program, a community substance abuse treatment program, or an inpatient hospital for substance abuse. The physical fitness program involved 1-2 meetings per week with an assignment to engage in two exercise sessions outside of the meetings. Overall, participants showed improved physical fitness (i.e., reduced time to run one mile, increased number of sit-ups in one minute, increased number of push-ups in one minute, increased flexibility, and reduced percentage of body fat), reduced polysubstance use and increased abstinence rates. Adolescents who improved on fitness outcomes also had greater self-concept and reduced anxiety and depressive symptoms relative to those whose fitness did not improve.

In a larger scale study, Collingwood, Sunderlin, and Kohl (Collingwood, Sunderlin, & Kohl, 1994) evaluated the effects of an 8 – 16 week physical fitness skills training program on substance use in a sample of approximately 1500 “at-risk” adolescents. Although pre-post data were available for only a subset of the sample, outcome data revealed general improvements in fitness and self-concept, and in reduced use of cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana, uppers, cocaine, hallucinogens, steroids, and designer drugs.

Alcohol—To our knowledge, only two controlled studies have been implemented specifically to examine the effects of an exercise intervention in individuals with excessive alcohol use or alcohol use disorders. The first study by Sinyor and colleagues (Sinyor et al., 1982) reported on 58 participants receiving inpatient alcohol rehabilitation treatment. Participants engaged in six weeks of “tailored” exercise, consisting of progressively more rigorous physical exercise including stretching, calisthenics and walking/running. Results revealed that these participants demonstrated better abstinence outcomes post-treatment than did non-exercising participants from two other small comparison groups. Significant differences between exercisers and non-exercisers continued at 3-month and 18-month follow-up. Exercisers also experienced significant reductions in percent body fat and increases in maximum oxygen uptake during the course of the intervention.

A later study by Murphy, Pagano, and Marlatt (Murphy et al., 1986) randomly assigned heavy drinking college students to either running, yoga/meditation, or no treatment control. Participants tracked their exercise and drinking behavior using daily self-report journals over eight weeks. Analysis of post-intervention data indicated that participants assigned to either of the exercise conditions (running or yoga) demonstrated significant decreases in quantity of alcohol consumption that were not experienced by control participants.

Findings from both studies are consistent in supporting a positive relationship between exercise and drinking outcomes. However, both studies also suffer from methodological limitations. In the Sinyor et al. (Sinyor et al., 1982) study, participants were not randomly assigned to exercise or comparison conditions. Rather, participants in the exercise condition were compared to two small (n’s = 9 and 12) comparison groups: one consisting of exercise participants from the exercise condition who did not fully participate and the other consisting of unmatched controls from another treatment facility. Thus enhanced drinking outcomes experienced by exercise participants could have been a function of factors other than exercise. Despite its overall methodological rigor, the Murphy et al. (Murphy et al., 1986) study was limited by small sample size (e.g., n = 13 in the running condition), reliance on self-report measures of exercise behavior and high dropout of participants due to dissatisfaction based on their group assignment.
These two studies in alcohol use and studies in tobacco and polysubstance use suggest the potential for positive outcomes to be achieved with exercise interventions for alcohol dependent patients. However, more work is clearly needed to develop standardized, structured, exercise-based interventions and to evaluate them in methodologically rigorous clinical trials. The current study is intended to address this need by describing the development of a moderate-intensity aerobic exercise program for alcoholics.

**Program Description**

**Components of Intervention**

There are three components to the exercise intervention: 1) moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, 2) group behavioral training component, and 3) incentive system.

**Aerobic Exercise Component of the Exercise Intervention**—In developing the aerobic exercise component of the intervention, considerable attention was paid to the type and structure of the exercise intervention to be developed. We considered whether to have all exercise sessions supervised, to have some sessions supervised and some take place independently, or to have all sessions take place independently. While previous studies have primarily utilized supervised exercise sessions, we were concerned that sole reliance on supervised exercise sessions might limit the generalizability of the program by serving to reduce the maintenance of exercise adherence during the follow-up period, once the intervention had ended. This is consistent with the findings of Perri and colleagues (1997), who found that adherence did not differ during the intervention phase between participants engaged in supervised versus home-based exercise programs, however higher rates of long-term exercise adherence were found in home-based exercise conditions. Therefore, we decided to have one weekly supervised exercise session and, through the behavioral group component, encourage and aid participants to develop independent exercise the other days of the week.

In determining the intensity of aerobic exercise, we considered the current public health recommendation for minimal levels of physical activity that produce health benefits (USDHHS, 1996). These current recommendations are for individuals to engage in moderate intensity physical activity for 30 minutes per day on most days of the week. Also, recent evidence suggests that adherence rates for exercise can be significantly improved with moderate intensity versus high intensity activity (Cox, Burke, Gorely, Beilin, & Puddey, 2003; Perri et al., 2002). Therefore, we decided to test the effect of a moderate-intensity exercise intervention.

In addition, we also considered whether the exercise intervention should be in an individual or group format. While previous studies varied with respect to format, we utilized a group intervention with rolling admissions, as we expected that it might be difficult to recruit an entire cohort of participants who would begin the intervention at the same time. We decided on a group format for a couple of reasons. First, there exists evidence in the exercise literature that group interventions are associated with higher adherence rates (Estabrooks & Carron, 1999). Also, group interventions, in general, can offer the added advantage of social support for behavior change – sedentary lifestyle and abstinence from alcohol, in this case.

Participants were required to attend supervised (by an exercise physiologist) aerobic exercise group sessions once a week at the study fitness facility. Prior to engaging in exercise, a breath analysis was conducted to confirm abstinence. At the beginning of the first weekly exercise group, the exercise physiologist provided information regarding the psychological and physical benefits of moderate intensity exercise. In addition, the exercise physiologist oriented the participant on all aspects of the exercise intervention which includes proper use of exercise.
equipment, warm-up and cool-down procedures, hours of operation of the exercise facility, and any other logistical procedures related to the exercise intervention.

At each exercise intervention session, the exercise physiologist guided participants on the intensity and duration of the exercise to be performed. Exercise sessions began at 20 minutes per session and gradually progressed to 40 minutes per session by week 12. Participants exercised at a rate that achieved 55 - 69% (moderate-intensity) of age-predicted maximal heart rate. This exercise regimen is consistent with the guidelines offered by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM; American College of Sports Medicine, 2000). Heart rate and blood pressure were monitored before, during, and after exercise. Each workout session also included a 5-minute warm-up and a 10-minute cool-down to ensure safe exercise procedures. Several types of exercise equipment were available to study participants, including treadmills, recumbent bicycles, and elliptical machines. Participants in the study were also given “prescriptions” from the exercise physiologist (tailored to their level of fitness) to engage in moderate-intensity aerobic exercise on a minimum of two to three other occasions during the week for the duration of the 12-week exercise program. These other exercise occasions took place in the context of their own environment (e.g., in their home or through community resources). In addition, participants were required to self-monitor their exercise by filling out a weekly exercise log with the various exercise activities they engaged in during the week, the duration of each activity, and their self-reported rate of perceived exertion (RPE) for each activity.

**Weekly Group Behavioral Training Component of the Exercise Intervention—**

Given the demonstrated efficacy of behavioral modification strategies in increasing physical activity (see meta-analysis by Dishman & Buckworth, 1996), this weekly, brief (15-20 minutes) group intervention based on cognitive and behavioral techniques was incorporated as a component of the exercise intervention. Through these weekly groups, participants were guided as to how to increase overall fitness through behavioral changes in their daily lives.

Each brief group session was focused on a certain topic designed to increase overall motivation resulting in improved exercise adherence and maintenance.

Decisions about which topics should be included in the behavioral group intervention were based on a review of the literature on factors influencing exercise adherence and our research team's clinical and research experience in developing and implementing interventions designed to elicit behavior changes among populations with addictive behaviors. For example, reviews of the literature on factors that predict exercise adherence reveal that time management skills, knowledge of exercise, social support, perception of barriers, perceived choice of activity, enjoyment of activity, perceived benefits of exercise are all important in predicting adherence to exercise interventions (Rhodes et al., 1999; Woodard & Berry, 2001). Each of these topics was included in the behavioral component treatment manual. Further, we utilized our familiarity and experience with the principles of relapse prevention (Marlatt & Gordon, 1985) and the Transtheoretical Model of Change (Prochaska & DiClemente, 1983) and included topics related to utilizing cognitive-behavioral approaches to overcoming barriers, getting back on track after a lapse into sedentary behavior, and motivating participants into action. On week 12 of the exercise intervention, participants received a certificate of program completion that was presented during the behavioral group component.

The behavioral training component consisted of the following modules:

1. **Benefits of Exercise.** This component focused on the psychological and physical/health benefits of exercise. In addition, the discussion addressed exercise's benefits on self-confidence and preventing relapse.
2. **Benefits of Exercise for Alcohol Recovery.** This component focused on the potential mechanisms by which exercise may be beneficial in alcohol recovery such as achievement of pleasurable state without the use of alcohol, positive alternative behavior, group activity that provides social support, decreased stress and improved coping.

3. **Goal Setting.** This component focused on goal setting as a skill that is acquired through practice, how short-term goals can be developed to achieve long-term goals, specific steps toward developing effective goals (i.e., generating goals that are personal, attainable, realistic, and measurable), and generating exercise-specific goals.

4. **Getting and Staying Motivated.** This component focused on various techniques to get and stay motivated for exercise such as selecting activities that are enjoyable, generating and utilizing positive self-statements, visualization of success, and generating rewards for accomplished exercise goals.

5. **Getting Back on Track.** This component focused on how to identify situations considered high-risk for deviating from an exercise program and developing strategies on how to plan for and handle these high-risk situations.

6. **Exercise and Coping with Negative Moods.** This component focused on the benefits of exercise for coping with depressive and anxious moods in addition to improvements in self-concept.

7. **Identifying and Overcoming Barriers.** This component focused on how to identify both planned and unplanned barriers to engaging in exercise along with various cognitive and behavioral strategies to deal with them.

8. **Time Management.** This component focused on the key principles of time management such as prioritizing and planning activities with a special emphasis on exercise.

9. **Basic Information for Exercising Wisely.** This component focused on ACSM's guidelines for physical activity, how to determine whether one is engaging in moderate exercise, and the 3 components of a good workout (i.e., warm-up, moderate intensity aerobic activity, and cool-down).

10. **Maintenance of Exercise.** This component focused on various techniques to increase the likelihood that the exercise program will be maintained long-term such as: seeking support of others, engaging in enjoyable activities, trying new activities, setting new goals, thinking positively, reflecting on previous exercise accomplishments, and utilizing problem solving skills to address barriers to exercise.

11. **Making Plans for Action.** This component focused on the stages of change along with techniques to move from various stages into the action stage.

12. **Social Support.** This component focused on how to seek different types of support from others including general encouragement, exercise advice from knowledgeable individuals, and exercising with others.

**Incentive Component of the Exercise Intervention**—In order to maximize adherence to the exercise program and self-monitoring of daily exercise activities, participants earned incentives for various levels of adherence to the exercise program. The decision to include a monetary incentive as a component of the exercise intervention was based on a review of studies that have found monetary incentives to be effective in increasing adherence to exercise (Jeffery & French, 1999; Jeffery, Wing, Thorson, & Burton, 1998; Robison & Rogers, 1995; Robison...
et al., 1992) and work with contingency management and monetary reinforcement as related to smoking and substance use outcomes (Higgins, Heil, & Lussier, 2004; Tidey, O’Neill, & Higgins, 2002). The incentive plan consisted of providing participants with $5 for attending each weekly combined group/exercise session and an additional $5 for returning their completed exercise self-monitoring form (from the prior week) at that session. In addition, participants earned the opportunity to draw a prize from a fish bowl (value ranging from $10- $50) at each weekly session, if they had consecutive attendance (i.e., had attended the prior week’s group + exercise session).

Procedure

Participants—Eligible participants: (a) were between 18 and 65 years of age, (b) met current DSM-IV criteria for alcohol dependence as assessed by the Structured Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-P), (c) were sedentary; i.e., have not participated regularly in aerobic physical exercise (for at least 20 minutes per day, three days per week) for the past six months, and (d) were engaged in outpatient alcohol treatment.

Exclusion criteria include: (a) current DSM-IV diagnosis of drug dependence (except nicotine dependence) as assessed by the SCID-P, (b) DSM-IV diagnosis of anorexia or bulimia nervosa as assessed by the SCID-P, (c) DSM-IV diagnosis of bipolar disorder as assessed by the SCID-P, (d) a history of psychotic disorder or current psychotic symptoms as assessed by the SCID-P, (e) current suicidality or homicidality, (f) marked organic impairment according to either the medical record or responses to the diagnostic assessments, (g) physical disabilities or medical problems (such as history of diabetes, uncontrolled hypertension, seizure disorder, coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, and pulmonary disease) or use of medications (such as beta blockers) that would prevent or hinder participation in a program of moderate exercise, and (h) current pregnancy or intent to become pregnant during the next 12 weeks.

Recruitment—Participants were recruited from two sources. First, the medical records of all patients entering the intensive alcohol and drug treatment partial-hospitalization program at a psychiatric hospital in the Northeast were screened for the psychiatric and medical inclusion and exclusion criteria detailed above. Second, interested participants responding to study advertisements in the local newspaper called the study center. In each case, participants were screened to determine the sedentary criteria. Next participants were evaluated using the diagnostic and assessment measures detailed below to confirm eligibility. Finally, participants underwent a graded exercise test under the supervision of the study physician.

The study physician reviewed the patient’s medical history. A resting electrocardiograph (ECG) was then obtained. Unless contraindicated by the resting ECG, the physician then had the patient complete a submaximal graded exercise test on a treadmill, with continuous ECG monitoring using a modified, Balke-Ware protocol (American College of Sports Medicine, 2000) that was terminated at 85% of the participant’s age-predicted maximal heart rate (see below for a more detailed description of the submaximal graded treadmill test). The physician reviewed the results of the graded exercise test and made the final determination regarding study eligibility. Based on this test, if moderate to vigorous intensity exercise was contraindicated for a participant, this participant was excluded from participation in the study and referred to his/her primary care physician for a follow-up evaluation.

Follow-up Assessments—Participants completed follow-up interviews 3 and 6 months following recruitment into the study. Alcohol breathanalysis was used to confirm sobriety during all interviews. To reduce attrition, participants were paid $40 and $50 for completion of the 3- and 6-month follow-up interviews, respectively. All payments were made in gift certificates to a local mall or supermarket. Cab rides were provided for participants who were
unable to transport themselves to our center for follow-up interviews. When necessary, friends or relatives listed by participants as potential contacts were asked to provide information about participants’ whereabouts. Due to concerns that relapsers’ feelings of discouragement and/or fear that they have disappointed the experimenters might serve to reduce adherence and honest reporting, all participants were informed that the information they provided was extremely important regardless of how well they might be doing regarding their drinking outcomes or exercise adherence.

Measures

**Physical Activity Screen**—To ensure that potential participants were blind to the nature of the screening procedure, the physical activity screen was embedded in a brief interview that assessed a variety of health behaviors such as sun safety, seatbelt use, and nutritional practices. The exercise portion of this interview determined whether patients were sedentary by asking participants the frequency and duration of at least moderate-intensity exercise (described as the equivalent of a brisk walk) over the last six months. Participants were considered sedentary if their responses suggested they were exercising less than 3 times a week for at least 20 minutes over the entire previous six months. In addition, demographic information such as date of birth, gender, ethnicity/race, marital status, and years of education were collected and re-confirmed at the diagnostic interview.

**Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-P; (First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1995)**—Psychiatric disorders, both current and lifetime, for establishing inclusion/exclusion criteria were determined by the relevant sections of the SCID-P (First et al., 1995).

**Time-Line-Follow-Back (TLFB; (L. C. Sobell & Sobell, 1996)**—The TLFB interview (M. B. Sobell et al., 1980) was utilized to assess alcohol and drug use at baseline and during the follow-up intervals. At baseline, it was administered for the 90 days prior to admission and at each follow-up interval for the period since its last administration. The TLFB interview is a calendar-assisted structured interview that provides a way to cue memory so that accurate recall is enhanced. A structured interview of patients’ drinking behavior has been found to be the most reliable and valid method of assessing prior alcohol use (L. C. Sobell & Sobell, 1979, 1980). In particular, the TLFB interview has excellent reliability (L. C. Sobell, Maisto, Sobell, & Cooper, 1979) and validity (L. C. Sobell & Sobell, 1980). The TLFB was developed to obtain information about amount of drinking over extended periods of time.

Data from the TLFB were summarized by month to yield the primary alcohol outcome variables: drinks per possible drinking day (i.e., total number of standard drinks consumed divided by number of days not in a restricted environment where unable to drink (e.g., incarcerated or in residential treatment]) and percent days abstinent (i.e., number of abstinent days divided by number of days not in a restricted environment).

**Breathanalysis**—At baseline, each exercise session, and each follow-up assessment, expired breath was analyzed for alcohol to confirm abstinence prior to exercise participation and interview completion and to further corroborate self-report data.

Cardiorespiratory Fitness was assessed using a submaximal graded exercise protocol on a motorized treadmill at baseline and follow-up evaluations. Prior to each graded exercise test, the study physician (or masters-level exercise physiologist at follow-up) reviewed the participant’s medical history (see above Health Questionnaire) and obtained a baseline 12-lead electrocardiogram and blood pressure reading. For the graded exercise test, the speed of the treadmill was constant at 3.5 mph with the initial grade set at 0% and progressed by 1% at 1-minute intervals. During testing, heart rate was assessed each minute and at the point of test
termination using a 12-lead electrocardiogram. Blood pressure was measured at 2-minute intervals during the test. Further, ratings of perceived exertion (Borg 6-20 scale) were obtained at one-minute intervals during the test. This submaximal exercise test was terminated at 85% of the participant's age predicted maximal heart rate (age-predicted maximal heart rate = 220 – age of participant). In addition, test termination guidelines outlined by the American College of Sports Medicine (American College of Sports Medicine, 2000) were followed. Following test termination, each participant underwent a 3-minute active cool-down and 5-7 minute seated cool-down, during which time heart rate and blood pressure were assessed.

For the purpose of data analysis, cardiorespiratory fitness was defined in two ways. First, equations published by the American College of Sports Medicine (American College of Sports Medicine, 2000) were used to estimate the metabolic equivalents (MET) level at which the participant achieved 85% of their age-predicted maximal heart rate. In addition, the time point during the exercise test at which the participant achieved 85% of their age-predicted maximal heart rate was used for data analysis. Increases in MET level and/or duration of the exercise test are representative of improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness.

Body Composition was evaluated across multiple domains. Weight was measured using a calibrated medical scale. Following procedures outlined by the ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription (American College of Sports Medicine, 2000), body fat percentage was assessed using skinfold thickness measured on the right side at the triceps, suprailiac crest, and thigh using a Lange caliper. Also consistent with ACSM guidelines, body mass, or Quilet, index (BMI) was calculated by dividing body weight in kilograms by height in meters squared. The exercise physiologist for the study conducted these assessments.

**Preliminary Outcomes**

**Sample Characteristics**

From the alcohol and drug partial hospital treatment program, 257 charts of patients with alcohol dependence were screened. In addition, 71 calls were received in response to newspaper advertisements for the research study. Of these 328 potential participants, rule outs included: 91 (28%) for a concomitant non-alcohol substance use diagnosis, 43 (13%) for a medical problem that would prohibit safely participating in exercise, 43 (13%) were not sedentary, 37 (11%) refused participation in the study (applicable only to patients from the partial hospital treatment program), 27 (8%) for one of the psychiatric exclusion criteria, 16 (5%) were not involved in ongoing substance abuse treatment (applicable only to those calling in response to the newspaper advertisement), 10 (3%) for being older than 65 years old. In addition, during the recruitment process, 33 (10%) of potential participants either expressed loss of interest in the study, were unable to attend group nights, or we lost contact with them.

As a result, the remaining 27 participants were scheduled for baseline assessments. Of these, one was excluded because of a SCID-diagnosed psychiatric rule out (bipolar disorder), 4 did not receive medical clearance from the study physician during the submaximal graded exercise test, and 2 decided to no longer participate during the baseline assessment phase. Therefore, 20 participants were eligible to participate in the 12-week aerobic exercise intervention. Of these, one participant did not attend the intervention and was not able to be contacted further. Thus, the study sample was comprised of 19 participants who initiated the exercise intervention.

The sample of 19 participants included 11 (57.9%) females and 8 (42.1%) males. The mean age of participants was 44.4 (SD = 7.1) years. The sample was primarily Caucasian (18 of 19; 94.7%) with an average of 13.4 (SD = 2.4) years of education. Ten participants (52.6%) were either divorced or separated, while six (31.6%) were married and three (15.8%) were single or
never married. In addition, nine participants (47.4%) were employed full-time, while six (31.6%) were working part-time and four (21.1%) were currently unemployed. All participants were engaged in ongoing addiction treatment for alcohol dependence. All participants reported their last drink within the last 2 months (range 0-58 days ago) with a mean of 19.4 ($SD = 15.0$) days elapsing since last drink.

**Treatment Adherence**

Attendance for each week of the exercise intervention is displayed in Figure 1. During the 12-week intervention, participants attended an average of 8.2 ($SD = 3.8$) weekly exercise sessions. Over the course of the 12-week intervention, participants exercised an average of 4.2 ($SD = 1.4$) days per week. In addition, participants engaged in an average of 233 ($SD = 127$) minutes of physical activity per week with 152 ($SD = 102$) of these minutes being at an exertion level of at least moderate intensity. Further, participants earned an average of $81$ ($SD = 37$) for weekly incentives for session attendance and completion of self-monitoring forms, and $113$ ($SD = 71$) from the fishbowl drawing for attending exercise sessions on consecutive weeks.

**Drinking Outcomes**

Figure 2 displays the mean percent days abstinent (PDA) for pretreatment (3 months prior to beginning the exercise intervention), during the 12-week exercise intervention, and the 3 months following the end of the intervention. Similarly, Figure 3 displays the mean number of drinks per drinking day at each of these timepoints.

These results suggest that, compared to the mean pretreatment percent days abstinent (PDA), significant increases in PDA were observed at the end of the 12-week exercise intervention ($t=3.94, df=17, p=.001$) and at the 3-month post-intervention follow-up ($t=4.77, df=15, p<.001$). In addition, there was a trend toward decreased drinks per drinking day at end of treatment ($t=2.0, df=17, p=.06$) and a significant reduction in drinks per drinking day at 3-months post-intervention ($t=2.43, df=15, p<.05$) compared to baseline levels of drinking.

**Cardiorespiratory Outcomes**

Table 1 displays the means and standard deviations of each of the cardiorespiratory and body composition measures at baseline, end of treatment, and the 3-month post-intervention follow-up. Paired sample t-test comparisons were conducted to determine whether there were statistically significant differences between each baseline measure of cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition and their corresponding measure at the end of treatment and 3-month assessment timepoints. Compared to baseline, participants significantly improved on the duration of the submaximal treadmill test at end of treatment ($t=2.35, df=15, p<.05$). Further, participants demonstrated a decrease in body mass index from baseline to end of treatment ($t=2.19, df=15, p<.05$). No significant differences were observed between baseline and the 3-month post intervention follow-up on measures of cardiorespiratory fitness or body composition.

**Conclusion**

Relapse continues to pose a major problem to the alcohol treatment field as a whole and to individuals attempting recovery from alcohol use disorders. Studies evaluating strategies to enhance maintenance of treatment gains have devoted relatively little attention to lifestyle modification, and research in the area of exercise and recovery from alcohol use disorders is still in its infancy. For over two decades, researchers have called for studies examining the role of exercise in recovery from alcohol and other substance use disorders, yet to date, only two controlled trials from the 1980’s have specifically examined the application of exercise interventions in individuals with alcohol use problems. Further, many patients in substance
abuse programs express interest in incorporating exercise into their recovery (Read et al., 2001b). In light of the promising findings from these studies as well as those from well-controlled studies in smoking cessation, more research is clearly needed in this promising area.

To address the existing gap in this literature, we developed an aerobic exercise intervention as an adjunct to addiction treatment for alcohol dependent patients. In this pilot study, sedentary alcohol dependent patients engaged in a 12-week individually-tailored moderate-intensity aerobic exercise intervention. The preliminary outcomes of this pilot study revealed good adherence to the exercise intervention with demonstrated benefits in cardiorespiratory fitness by the end of the 12-week intervention. In addition, compared to baseline, there were significant increases in percent days abstinence as well as decreases in drinks per drinking day at follow-up timepoints.

This program is notable in that it represents the development and evaluation of a structured and well-specified aerobic exercise intervention for alcohol dependent individuals. The intervention has several novel components, including cognitive-behavioral components to facilitate the adoption and maintenance of exercise behavior, an incentive system to increase program adherence and the involvement of an exercise physiologist to provide participants with individually tailored exercise prescriptions.

Limitations of this pilot study include the lack of a control group and a small sample size. These limitations prevent us from making definitive statements about the efficacy of the exercise intervention and from examining mediating mechanisms whereby participation in aerobic exercise may have led to reduced drinking outcomes. However, the current study's promising findings warrant further investigation of the efficacy of the exercise intervention in future randomized clinical trials. If the efficacy of this moderate-intensity aerobic exercise intervention can be demonstrated, alcohol dependent patients may be provided with a valuable adjunct to traditional alcohol treatment. Furthermore, future studies may contribute much-needed knowledge about the role of aerobic exercise in reducing alcohol use and increasing fitness in alcohol dependent patients.
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Table 1

Changes in Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Body Composition over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>End of Treatment</th>
<th>3-Month Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Equivalents (METs)</td>
<td>8.1(2.1)</td>
<td>8.9(2.6)</td>
<td>8.5(1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Exercise Test (secs)*</td>
<td>646.8(266.2)</td>
<td>786.7(311.5)</td>
<td>717.8(281.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (BMI) *</td>
<td>25.6(5.0)</td>
<td>25.0(4.9)</td>
<td>25.4(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Body Fat</td>
<td>26.1(7.7)</td>
<td>23.9(7.5)</td>
<td>26.4(7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-Hip Ratio</td>
<td>.87(.07)</td>
<td>.87(.07)</td>
<td>.89(.09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses reflect standard deviations of the means.

* Significant differences between baseline and end of treatment.